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"In a recent article in *Ethics*, Elijah Millgram presents a novel reconstruction of J. S. Mill's 'proof' of the principle of utility. Millgram's larger purpose is to critique instrumentalist approaches to practical reasoning. His reading of the proof makes Mill out to be an instrumentalist, and Millgram thinks that the ultimate failure of Mill's argument usefully illustrates an inconsistency inherent in instrumentalism. Yet Millgram's interpretation of the proof does not succeed. Mill is not an instrumentalist. Millgram may be right that instrumentalism is incoherent, but he has chosen the wrong figure to illustrate the point."

"This paper constitutes a suggested route through the well-trodden minefield that is Mill's proof of Utilitarianism. A deductive course – tramping gamely straight across from an egoistic psychological hedonism to a disinterested ethical hedonism – would seemingly be the most hazardous route across the terrain. Thus, it has become standard policy amongst guides to advise readers of Utilitarianism that this is a route which Mill neither needs nor attempts to take. I shall argue that in travelling down this route one can avoid the dangers with which it is usually associated and I shall tentatively suggest that one may find oneself following Mill's footsteps in doing so."


Philosophy 48, S. 197–205.


