

Literatur zu Thomas Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, Cambridge, Mass. 1998

Jörg Schroth

(Stand: 26.12.03)

- 2001 [1] Arkonovich, Steven (2001): Defending Desire: Scanlon's Anti-Humeanism, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 63, S. 499–519.
- 2003 [2] Ashford, Elizabeth (2003): The Demandingness of Scanlon's Contractualism, *Ethics* 113, S. 273–302.
- 2002 [3] Bufacchi, Vittorio (2002): Review of T. M. Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, *International Journal of Philosophical Studies* 10, S. 387–91.
- 2000 [4] Copp, David/Sobel, David (2000): Review of T. M. Scanlon's *What We Owe to Each Other*, *Economics and Philosophy* 16, S. 368–371.
- 2000 [5] Crisp, Roger (2000): Contractualism and the Good. Critical Notice of T. M. Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, *Philosophical Books* 41, S. 235–47.
- 2000 [6] Dancy, Jonathan (2000): Should We Pass the Buck?, in *Philosophy, the Good, the True and the Beautiful (Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplement 47)*, hrsg. von Anthony O'Hear, Cambridge, S. 159–73: S. 162–67.
- 2002 [7] Deigh, John (2002): Promises under Fire, *Ethics* 112, S. 483–506. – Dazu: Scanlon (2002): Reasons, Responsibility, and Reliance: Replies to Wallace, Dworkin, and Deigh, *Ethics* 112, S. 507–28.
- 2000 [8] Döring, Sabine A. (2000): Motivation und Rechtfertigung. Zu T. M. Scanlons Theorie der moralischen Motivation, in *Anthropologie und Moral. Philosophische und soziologische Perspektiven*, hrsg. von Martin Endreß und Neil Roughley, Würzburg, S. 271–307.
- 2002 [9] Dworkin, Gerald (2002): Contractualism and the Normativity of Principles, *Ethics* 112, S. 471–82. – Dazu: Scanlon (2002): Reasons, Responsibility, and Reliance: Replies to Wallace, Dworkin, and Deigh, *Ethics* 112, S. 507–28.
- 1999 [10] Hampshire, Stuart (1999): The Reason Why Not. Review of T. M. Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, *New York Review of Books*, 22.4.1999.
- 2002 [11] Kamm, F. M. (2002): Owing, Justifying, and Rejecting, *Mind* 111, S. 323–354.
- 2003 [12] Kolodny, Niko/Wallace, R. Jay (2003): Promises and Practices Revisited, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 31, S. 118–54.
- 2000 [13] Kreide, Regina (2000): Context-sensitive Universalism: On Thomas Scanlon's *What We Owe to Each Other*, *Philosophy and Social Criticism* 26, S. 123–32.
- 2001 [14] Macleod, C. M. (2001): Making Moral Judgements and Giving Reasons. Critical Notice of T. M. Scanlon *What We Owe To Each Other*, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 31, S. 263–290.
- 1999 [15] Nagel, Thomas (1999): One-to-One. Review of T. M. Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, *London Review of Books* 21, No. 3, 4. Februar 1999. Wiederabgedruckt als "Scanlon's Moral Theory" in Nagel, *Concealment and Exposure and Other Essays*, Oxford 2002, S. 147–54.
- 2003 [16] O'Neill, Onora (2003): Constructivism vs. Contractualism, *Ratio* 16, S. 319–331. – Dazu: Scanlon (2003): Replies, *Ratio* 16, S. 424–439.

- 2000 [17] Otsuka, Michael (2000): Scanlon and the Claims of the Many versus the One, *Analysis* 60, S. 288–92.
- 2003 [18] Parfit, Derek (2003): Justifiability to Each Person, *Ratio* 16, S. 368–390. – Dazu: Scanlon (2003): Replies, *Ratio* 16, S. 424–439.
- 1999 [19] Pettit, Philip (1999): Review of Scanlon, *What We Owe to Each Other*, *Times Literary Supplement*, 25.6.1999.
- 2000 [20] Pettit, Philip (2000): Two Construals of Scanlon’s Contractualism, *Journal of Philosophy* 97, S. 148–64 (Review Essay of *What We Owe to Each Other*).
- 2001 [21] Pogge, Thomas W. (2001): What We Can Reasonably Reject, in *Philosophical Issues 11: Social, Political, and Legal Philosophy*, hrsg. von Ernest Sosa und Enrique Villanueva, Oxford, S. 118–47.
- 2001 [22] Ridge, Michael (2001): Saving Scanlon: Contractualism and Agent-Relativity, *Journal of Political Philosophy* 9, S. 472–481.
- 2003 [23] Stratton-Lake, Philip (2003): Scanlon’s Contractualism and the Redundancy Objection, *Analysis* 63, S. 70–76.
- 2003 [24] Timmons, Mark (2003): The Limits of Moral Constructivism, *Ratio* 16, S. 391–423. – Dazu: Scanlon (2003): Replies, *Ratio* 16, S. 424–439.
- 2002 [25] Wallace, R. Jay (2002): Scanlon’s Contractualism, *Ethics* 112, S. 429–70. – Dazu: Scanlon (2002): Reasons, Responsibility, and Reliance: Replies to Wallace, Dworkin, and Deigh, *Ethics* 112, S. 507–28.
- 2003 [26] Wolff, Jonathan (2003): Scanlon on Well-Being, *Ratio* 16, S. 332–345. – Dazu: Scanlon (2003): Replies, *Ratio* 16, S. 424–439.